



Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3441
Title of the Manuscript:	Nucleotide Sequence and Phylogeny of <i>Rhynocoris marginatus</i> Fabricius based on 18S Ribosomal RNA Gene (Heteroptera: Reduviidae)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes. The specie has a great ecologic importance. 2. Yes. 3. Yes, but some changes mentioned below could be done. 4. Yes 5. Yes, but some additional references could be added in the “ Results and Discussion” . 6. Yes. <p>Dear authors,</p> <p>I am writing to you about the manuscript entitled “Nucleotide Sequence and Phylogeny of Rhynocoris marginatus Fabricius based on 18S Ribosomal RNA Gene (Heteroptera: Reduviidae)” , which you submitted to UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY.</p> <p>The main idea of this article is of great relevance because it talks about a specie that has a big ecologic importance. The role, however, needs to be improved. Therefore, some recommendations are suggested.</p> <p>In the Abstract, you could write at least a phrasal related to the importance of the insect.</p> <p>The Introduction is good, but it' s too short. I</p>	<p>Yes I agree and I change the corrections</p>



<p>and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>recommend you to develop it a little bit more. Try to write more information in each paragraph.</p> <p>In the Results and Discussion section, it would be interesting to show some images that could show the studied specie.</p> <p>Also about the Discussion, maybe you could “ talk” a little more with results of other articles, adding some additional and recent references.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’ s comment	Author’ s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	